UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,996	05/24/2006	Brad R. Wettstein	14861.0003USWO	1513
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 12/09/200 & GOULD PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903			MCDONALD, SHANTESE L	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/595,996	WETTSTEIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SHANTESE MCDONALD	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	A IS SET TO EXPIDE A MONITUR	C) OD TUUDTY (20) DAVC				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ju</u>	ılv 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)  Claim(s) <u>21,24 and 32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>22,23,25-31,33-40</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The Examiner notes that the claims rejected in the previous office action listed the claims as 1,4 and 12 being rejected, and claims 2,3,5-11 and 13-20 as being objected to. In the response of 7/17/08 of this action, the Applicant listed the pending claims as 1-20. This appears to be in error. Claims 21-40 appear to be pending in the case, and therefore this present action will reflect the presently pending claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21, 24 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henke in view of Sanchez

Henke et al. teaches a sanding block, 42, having a rear surface terminating at a real edge, a substantially flat working surface, 26, means of attachment of sandpaper, 55, to the working surface, a pole mount, 24, having an axle, and a base, the pole mount rotationally engaged with the rear surface of the sanding block, a hub, 70, the hub having a first end rotationally engaged with the axle, the hub having a second end and means of attachment of the second end of the hub to a pole, 72, curved at least on

one end, (the end that is screwed in the pole mount, fig. 4), used in combination herewith whereby the sanding block will rotate on the pole mount and the pole mount will rotate on the hub, (fig. 4), means to minimize frictional engagement between the rear surface and the pole, (the two ridges on either side of the pole mount). Henke teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the sanding block being substantially round in shape. Sanchez teaches a round sanding block, (fig. 8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the tool of Henke with a round sanding block, in order to enhance the sanding capabilities, and since it is well known in the art to make a sanding block in a round shape.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22,23,25-31 and 33-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHANTESE MCDONALD whose telephone number is (571)272-4486. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,996 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S.L.M. November 10, 2008

/Joseph J. Hail, III/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3723